arXiv:hep-th/0512344v2 31 Dec 2005

NRCPS-HE-05-63

December, 2005

Gauge Invariant Lagrangian
for
Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields of Fourth Rank

George Savvidy[*] and Takuya Tsukioka[†]

Institute of Nuclear Physics,

National Center for Scientific Research, "DEMOKRITOS",

Agia Paraskevi, GR-15310 Athens, Greece

Abstract

Using generalized field strength tensors for non-Abelian tensor gauge fields one can explicitly construct all possible Lorentz invariant quadratic forms for rank-4 non-Abelian tensor gauge fields and demonstrate that there exist only two linear combinations of them which form a gauge invariant Lagrangian. Together with the previous construction of independent gauge invariant forms for rank-2 and rank-3 tensor gauge fields this construction proves the uniqueness of early proposed general Lagrangian up to rank-4 tensor fields. Expression for the coefficients of the general Lagrangian is presented in a compact form.

---

[*] savvidy(AT)inp.demokritos.gr

[†] tsukioka(AT)inp.demokritos.gr

1 Introduction

The early investigation of higher-spin representations of the Poincaré algebra and of the corresponding field equations is due to Majorana, Dirac, Fierz and Pauli and Wigner [1–4]. The theory of massive particles of higher spin was developed by Fierz and Pauli [3] and Rarita and Schwinger [5]. The Lagrangian and S-matrix formulations of *free field theory* of massive and massless fields with higher spin have been completely constructed in [6–15]. The problem of *introducing interaction* appears to be much more complex [16–21, 27, 28] and met enormous difficulties for spin fields higher than two [22–26]. The first positive result in this direction was the light-front construction of the cubic interaction term for the massless field of helicity ±λ in [29, 30]. Discussion of the tensionless strings and their relation with higher spin fields can be found in [31–42]. Alternative formulations of higher spin field theories have been proposed and discussed in [43–50].

In the recent articles [51–53] one of the authors considered the generalization of the Yang-Mills theory which includes non-Abelian tensor gauge fields of higher rank. For non-Abelian tensor gauge fields of arbitrary higher rank-s two independent gauge invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_s$ and $\mathcal{L}'_s$ which are quadratic in field strength tensors have been found. The general Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ was defined as a linear sum of these forms [52, 53]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \; g_{s+1}\mathcal{L}_{s+1} \;\; + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \; g^{'}_{s+1}\mathcal{L}^{'}_{s+1}.$$

In order to prove a uniqueness of the general Lagrangian it is important to know whether these invariants provide *a complete set of independent gauge invariants* quadratic in field strength tensors. The affirmative answer to the above question will prove that it is indeed a unique gauge invariant Lagrangian.

In this article, using generalized field strength tensors for non-Abelian tensor gauge fields defined in [51–53], we explicitly constructed all possible Lorentz invariant quadratic forms for the rank-4 non-Abelian tensor gauge fields and demonstrated that there are only two linear combinations of them $\mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}^{'}_4$ which form a gauge invariant Lagrangian. Together with the previous construction of independent gauge invariant forms for rank-2 ($\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}^{'}_2$) and rank-3 ($\mathcal{L}_3$ and $\mathcal{L}^{'}_3$) tensor gauge fields [52, 53], the above consideration extends the proof of the uniqueness of the total Lagrangian up to the rank-4 gauge fields.

It seems difficult to extend this explicit construction of all possible Lorentz invariant structures to higher rank tensor fields because their number grows very fast. Nevertheless the analysis of low rank tensor gauge fields makes it plausible that the general Lagrangian presented in [52, 53] is indeed a unique one and contains only two independent gauge invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_s$ and $\mathcal{L}'_s$.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section necessary notations and definitions of extended gauge transformation of tensor gauge fields and of the corresponding field strength tensors from the articles [51–53] will be introduced. The previous construction of independent gauge invariant forms for the rank-2 and rank-3 tensor fields will be reproduced in Section 3. In the subsequent, main part of the article, we shall construct all Lorentz invariant quadratic forms for the rank-4 tensor fields and shall prove that only two forms $\mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4$ provide all possible invariants

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_4 = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(&G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda} + \frac{3}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 3G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\ &+\frac{3}{4}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda} + \frac{3}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'_4 = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(&G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This consideration allows to define a unique gauge invariant Lagrangian for the fourth-rank tensor gauge fields which is a linear sum of $\mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4$.

In Section 5 we shall demonstrate that in the general Lagrangian [52, 53] the total number of Lorentz independent structures for the rank-s tensor gauge field grows as s^2 and shall present a compact expression for the coefficients.

2 Gauge Fields and Field Strengths

The Lie algebra valued non-Abelian gauge fields are defined as rank-$(s+1)$ tensors [51–53]

$$A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} = A^a_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s}L_a, \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where L_a are generators of the compact Lie gauge group G. These fields are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_s$. *A priory*, the tensor gauge fields have no symmetric and/or antisymmetric structures with respect to the first index μ.

The extended gauge transformations of the non-Abelian tensor gauge fields are defined by the following equations [51]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu,\ \xi],\\
\delta A_{\mu\lambda_1} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1} - ig[A_\mu,\ \xi_{\lambda_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1},\ \xi],\\
\delta A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} - ig[A_\mu,\ \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1},\ \xi_{\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_2},\ \xi_{\lambda_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2},\ \xi],\\
\cdots &\cdot \cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1a}$$

or in the general form by the formula

$$\delta A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} = \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} - ig\sum_{i=0}^{s}\ \sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i}\Big[A_{\mu\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_i}},\ \xi_{\lambda_1\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_i}\cdots\lambda_s}\Big], \tag{2.1b}$$

where the symbol "$\vee$" in the $\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_i}$ means that one should erase the index λ_{p_i}. The infinitesimal non-Abelian gauge parameters $\xi_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s}(x)$ are totally symmetric rank-s tensors. By construction, there are $\dfrac{s!}{i!(s-i)!}$ terms in the sum $\displaystyle\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i}$. These extended gauge transformations generate a closed algebraic structure [51]

$$[\delta_{\xi_1},\ \delta_{\xi_2}] A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} = \delta_\xi A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the gauge parameters $\xi_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}(x)$ on the right hand side are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &= -ig[\xi_1,\ \xi_2],\\
\xi_{\nu_1} &= -ig[\xi_1,\ \xi_{2\nu_1}] - ig[\xi_{1\nu_1}, \xi_2],\\
\xi_{\nu_1\nu_2} &= -ig[\xi_1,\ \xi_{2\nu_1\nu_2}] - ig[\xi_{1\nu_1},\ \xi_{2\nu_2}] - ig[\xi_{1\nu_2},\ \xi_{2\nu_1}] - ig[\xi_{1\nu_1\nu_2},\ \xi_2],\\
\cdots &\cdot \cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3a}$$

or in the general form by the formula

$$\xi_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_n} = -ig\sum_{i=0}^{n}\ \sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i}\Big[\xi_{1\nu_{p_1}\nu_{p_2}\cdots\nu_{p_i}},\ \xi_{2\nu_1\cdots\overset{\vee}{\nu}_{p_1}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\nu}_{p_2}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\nu}_{p_i}\cdots\nu_n}\Big]. \tag{2.3b}$$

The generalized field strengths are defined as [51]

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu,\ A_\nu],$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda_1} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda_1} - ig[A_\mu,\ A_{\nu\lambda_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1},\ A_\nu], \\
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\lambda_2} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2} \qquad (2.4\text{a}) \\
&\quad -ig[A_\mu,\ A_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1},\ A_{\nu\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_2},\ A_{\nu\lambda_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2},\ A_\nu], \\
&\cdots\ \cdot\ \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

or in the general form by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} \\
&\quad -ig\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i}\Big[A_{\mu\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_i}},\ A_{\nu\lambda_1\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_i}\cdots\lambda_s}\Big].
\end{aligned}
\qquad (2.4\text{b})
$$

These field strength tensors are antisymmetric in their first two indices (μ,ν) and are totally symmetric with respect to the rest of the indices $(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_s)$. In the above definition of the extended gauge field strength $G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s}(x)$, together with the classical Yang-Mills gauge boson $A_\mu(x)$, there participate a set of higher rank gauge fields $A_{\mu\lambda_1}(x)$, $A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2}(x)$, $\cdots$, $A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s}(x)$ up to the rank $s+1$. One of the important features of these field strengths is that they transform homogeneously under the extended gauge transformations (2.1a) and (2.1b) [51]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta G_{\mu\nu} &= -ig[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi], \\
\delta G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1} &= -ig[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\lambda_1}] - ig[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1},\ \xi], \\
\delta G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\lambda_2} &= -ig[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}] - ig[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1},\ \xi_{\lambda_2}] - ig[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_2},\ \xi_{\lambda_1}] - ig[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\lambda_2},\ \xi], \\
&\cdots\ \cdot\ \cdots
\end{aligned}
\qquad (2.5\text{a})
$$

or in the general form:

$$
\delta G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_s} = -ig\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i}\Big[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_i}},\ \xi_{\lambda_1\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_i}\cdots\lambda_s}\Big]. \qquad (2.5\text{b})
$$

The *gauge invariant tensor density* constructed in [52, 53] by expansion over the vector variable e_μ [54] is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}} = \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i} G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_i}} G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_i}\cdots\lambda_{2s}} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_s} G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_s}} G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\check{\lambda}_{p_s}\cdots\lambda_{2s}} \\
&+(\nu_1\leftrightarrow\nu_2)\Bigg).
\end{aligned}
\qquad (2.6)
$$

These tensors are totally symmetric within the indices (ν_1, ν_2) and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{2s})$, whereas they have no symmetries between the indices ν_i and λ_j. Contraction of indices by metric tensors allows to construct two *gauge and also Lorentz invariant forms* $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ [52, 53]

$$\mathcal{L}_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^s}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}}\Big(\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}\eta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\Big)\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\cdots\eta_{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}}, \tag{2.7a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}}\Big(\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2}\Big)\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\cdots\eta_{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}}. \tag{2.7b}$$

In order to define a unique Lagrangian it is important to know whether these invariants provide a *complete set of independent gauge invariants* which are quadratic in field strength tensors. The affirmative answer to the above question will prove that a unique gauge invariant Lagrangian is [52, 53]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} g_{s+1}\mathcal{L}_{s+1} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} g'_{s+1}\mathcal{L}'_{s+1} . \tag{2.8}$$

An alternative way of constructing a gauge invariant Lagrangian is, first, to consider all possible *Lorentz invariant quadratic forms*, and then by direct calculation of their variation over the gauge transformation to check if some linear combination of them can be made gauge invariant [51, 52]. If successful this procedure will fix the coefficients in the linear sum. In the next section we shall present this construction for the rank-2 and rank-3 tensor gauge fields from [51–53] and then shall turn to the consideration of the rank-4 field.

3 Second and Third Rank Tensor Gauge Fields

In order to describe rank-two quanta, one should introduce tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda_1}(x)$ together with tensor gauge fields whose ranks would be up to three, such as $A_\mu(x)$ and $A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2}(x)$. Using these fields one can construct the following Lorentz invariant quadratic form [51],

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\left(a_1 G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho} + a_2 G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where a_1 and a_2 are numerical coefficients which should be determined by the gauge invariance of the Lagrangian. Calculating the variation of the Lagrangian under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b), one can get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}_2 &= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{2a_1[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho} + 2a_2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu}\Big\}\\ &= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{2(a_1-a_2)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

One can determine the numerical coefficients by requiring the invariance of the Lagrangian,

$$a_2 = a_1,$$

and find out the following Lorentz and gauge invariant Lagrangian [51],

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = a_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left(G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\nu,\rho} + G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where the numerical coefficient a_1 remains arbitrary.

The second invariant Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2'$ consists of the following three quadratic forms [52, 53],

$$\mathcal{L}_2' = \mathrm{Tr}\left(b_1 G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\rho,\nu} + b_2 G_{\mu\nu,\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\rho} + b_3 G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Calculating the variation of the Lagrangian under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b), one can get the following result,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}_2' &= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\left\{2b_1[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\rho,\nu} + 2b_2[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\nu]G_{\mu\rho,\rho} + b_3\Big([G_{\mu\rho,\nu},\ \xi_\rho] + [G_{\mu\rho,\rho},\ \xi_\nu]\Big)G_{\mu\nu}\right\}\\ &= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\left\{(2b_1 - b_3)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\rho,\nu} + (2b_2 - b_3)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\nu]G_{\mu\rho,\rho}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then one can determine the numerical coefficients as

$$b_2 = b_1, \quad b_3 = 2b_1,$$

and find the following second invariant form [52, 53],

$$\mathcal{L}_2' = b_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left(G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\rho,\nu} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\rho} + 2G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

where the coefficient b_1 remains arbitrary.

For rank-three quanta, one should introduce tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2}(x)$ together with tensor gauge fields whose ranks would be up to five, such as $A_\mu(x)$, $A_{\mu\lambda_1}(x)$, $\cdots$, and $A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_4}(x)$. Using these fields one can construct the following Lorentz invariant forms [51]:

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \mathrm{Tr}\left(a_1 G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} + a_2 G_{\mu\nu\ \rho\rho} G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma} + a_3 G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma} + a_4 G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Calculating the variation under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b), one can get the following result:

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_3 = -ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{2a_1\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2a_2\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\rho]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}\\
&+a_3\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&\qquad+\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\sigma]+[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_\rho]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big)\\
&+a_4\Big(4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\sigma]\Big)G_{\mu\nu}\Big\}\\
=-ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{&2(2a_1-a_3)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(4a_2-a_3)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}\\
&+(a_3-4a_4)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}+2(a_1-2a_4)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+2(a_2-a_4)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}+(a_3-4a_4)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and determine the numerical coefficients consistently as

$$a_2=\frac{1}{2}a_1,\quad a_3=2a_1,\quad a_4=\frac{1}{2}a_1.$$

Thus one can get the following gauge invariant form with the arbitrary coefficient a_1 [51],

$$\mathcal{L}_3=a_1\mathrm{Tr}\left(G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}+2G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma}\right).\tag{3.6}$$

There are additional seven Lorentz invariant quadratic terms [52, 53],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_3=\mathrm{Tr}\Big(&b_1G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma}+b_2G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma}+b_3G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma}\\
&+b_4G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma}+b_5G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\rho\sigma}+b_6G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&+b_7G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}
$$

Calculating the variation of this form under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b), one can get the following result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}'_3=-ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{&2b_1\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]+[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_\rho]\Big)G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma}\\
&+b_2\Big(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_\rho]+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\nu]\Big)G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma}\\
&\qquad+\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_\sigma]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\Big)\\
&+2b_3\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_\rho]+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\nu]\Big)G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma}\\
&+b_4\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad +\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big)\\
&+b_5\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\rho\sigma}\\
&\qquad +\Big([G_{\mu\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\sigma,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]\\
&\qquad\quad +[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}] + [G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big)\\
&+b_6\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&\qquad +\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\nu}\Big)\\
&+b_7\Big([G_{\mu\rho,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu}\Big\}\\
= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{&2(b_1-b_4)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma} + (2b_1-b_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma}\\
&+(b_2-b_6)[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma} + (b_2-b_4)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma}\\
&+(2b_2-b_5)[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho} + 2(b_3-b_6)[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(2b_3-b_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma} + (b_4-b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma}\\
&+(b_5-2b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\rho\sigma} + (b_6-b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&+2(b_1-b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma} + (b_2-b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma}\\
&+(b_2-b_7)[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho} + 2(b_3-b_7)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(2b_4-b_5)[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho} + (b_5-2b_6)[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\\
&+(b_4-b_7)[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho} + (b_5-2b_7)[G_{\mu\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\\
&+(b_6-b_7)[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This determines the numerical coefficients as

$$
b_2 = b_1, \quad b_3 = b_1, \quad b_4 = b_1, \quad b_5 = 2b_1, \quad b_6 = b_1, \quad b_7 = b_1,
$$

and provides the second invariant form with the arbitrary coefficient b_1 [52, 53],

$$
\mathcal{L}_3' = b_1\mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\rho\sigma}
$$

$$+G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma}+2G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\rho\sigma}+G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma}$$
$$+G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}\Big). \qquad (3.8)$$

Both Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_2+\mathcal{L}'_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_3+\mathcal{L}'_3$ for rank-2 and rank-3 fields coincide with the corresponding expressions in the general Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ (2.8). In the next section we shall present an analogous construction of the Lorentz and gauge invariant Lagrangian for the rank-4 gauge field.

4 Fourth Rank Tensor Gauge Fields

In order to describe rank-four quanta, we shall introduce tensor gauge field $A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(x)$ together with tensor gauge fields whose ranks would be up to seven, such as $A_\mu(x)$, $A_{\mu\lambda_1}(x)$, $\cdots$, and $A_{\mu\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_6}(x)$. Using these fields, we shall get the following form consisting of six quadratic terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_4=\mathrm{Tr}\Big(a_1G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}+a_2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda\lambda}+a_3G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$
$$+a_4G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}+a_5G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}+a_6G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\Big). \qquad (4.1)$$

In order to fix the numerical coefficients, we shall calculate the variation of the Lagrangian under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b) and get the following result:

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_4=-ig\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{2a_1\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]+3[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]+3[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\lambda]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}$$
$$+2a_2\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]$$
$$+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\rho]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}$$
$$+a_3\Big(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$
$$+\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]$$
$$+[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_\lambda]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_\sigma]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\Big)$$
$$+a_4\Big(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\rho]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$
$$+\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]$$
$$+4[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_\lambda]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\Big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+a_5\bigg([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&\qquad+\bigg([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\lambda}]\\
&\qquad\quad+4[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]\\
&\qquad\quad+4[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}]+4[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_\lambda]+[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_\rho]\bigg)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\bigg)\\
&+a_6\bigg(6[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+3[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+12[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}]\\
&\qquad+12[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+8[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]+3[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}]\\
&\qquad+12[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]+6[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_\lambda]\bigg)G_{\mu\nu}\bigg\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=-ig\mathrm{Tr}\bigg\{&2(3a_1-a_3)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\lambda]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}+2(a_2-2a_4)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}\\
&+2(2a_2-a_3)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}+2(a_3-2a_4)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\sigma]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(2a_4-a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}+(a_5-6a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_\rho]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+2(3a_1-2a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}+4(a_2-a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}\\
&+2(a_2-a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}+(a_3-12a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(a_3-4a_4)[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(a_4-3a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+2(a_1-4a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}+2(a_2-6a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\sigma}\\
&+2(a_3-2a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+2(a_3-2a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+2(2a_4-a_5)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}+(a_3-12a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(a_4-3a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}+(a_5-6a_6)[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can determine the coefficients consistently as

$$
a_2=\frac{3}{2}a_1,\quad a_3=3a_1,\quad a_4=\frac{3}{4}a_1,\quad a_5=\frac{3}{2}a_1,\quad a_6=\frac{1}{4}a_1,
$$

and come to the following gauge invariant Lagrangian [51]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_4=a_1\mathrm{Tr}\bigg(&G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}+\frac{3}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda\lambda}+3G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+\frac{3}{4}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}+\frac{3}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\bigg).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

Now let us proceed in order to define the second invariant Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}'_4$. There are fourteen Lorentz invariant quadratic terms,

$$
\mathcal{L}'_4=\mathrm{Tr}\bigg(b_1G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda}+b_2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda}+b_3G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda}+b_4G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+b_5 G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\rho} G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda} + b_6 G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} + b_7 G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} + b_8 G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho} G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} \\
&+b_9 G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho} G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + b_{10} G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho} G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} + b_{11} G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + b_{12} G_{\mu\nu,\rho} G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} \\
&+b_{13} G_{\mu\nu,\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda} + b_{14} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3}
$$

After calculation for the variation of the Lagrangian under the gauge transformations (2.5a) and (2.5b), we arrive at the following result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}_4' = -ig\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg\{ & 2b_1\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big) G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda} \\
&+2b_2\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big) G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda} \\
&+b_3\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big) G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda} \\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}] \\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big) G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}\Bigg) \\
&+2b_4\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big) G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda} \\
&+2b_5\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\rho}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big) G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda} \\
&+b_6\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big) G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} \\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\nu},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}] \\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big) G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\Bigg) \\
&+b_7\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + 2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}]\Big) G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma}] + [G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\Bigg)\\
&+b_8\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]+2[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big)G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\sigma,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda}]\\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma},\ \ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\Bigg)\\
&+b_9\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\Bigg)\\
&+b_{10}\Bigg(\Big([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]+[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\lambda,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\Bigg)\\
&+b_{11}\Bigg([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +4[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Bigg)\\
&+b_{12}\Bigg([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&\quad +\Big([G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma}]\\
&\qquad +2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}]\\
&\qquad +[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]+2[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda}]+[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda\sigma\sigma\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\bigg)$$

$$+b_{13}\bigg([G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$

$$+\Big([G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu,\nu}\bigg)$$

$$+b_{14}\Big([G_{\mu\rho,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda}] + 2[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}] + 4[G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]$$

$$+4[G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}] + [G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]\Big)G_{\mu\nu}\bigg\}$$

$$= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\bigg\{2(2b_1 - b_6)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda} + (2b_1 - b_7)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda}$$

$$+(4b_2 - b_6)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda} + (2b_2 - b_8)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda}$$

$$+2(b_3 - b_7)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda} + (b_3 - 2b_8)[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda}$$

$$+(b_3 - b_6)[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma} + (b_3 - 4b_9)[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}$$

$$+(b_3 - b_{10})[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma} + 2(2b_4 - b_{10})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}$$

$$+(2b_4 - b_7)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda} + (4b_5 - b_{10})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$

$$+(2b_5 - b_8)[G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda} + (b_6 - 4b_{11})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$

$$+(b_6 - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 2(b_7 - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}$$

$$+(2b_8 - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} + (b_9 - b_{13})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}$$

$$+(b_9 - b_{11})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + (b_{10} - 4b_{13})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}$$

$$+(b_{10} - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} + (b_{11} - b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}$$

$$+(b_{12} - 4b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} + (b_{13} - b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu}]G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}$$

$$+2(b_1 - 2b_{11})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda} + 2(2b_1 - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}]G_{\mu\rho.\nu\sigma\lambda}$$

$$+2(b_2 - b_{11})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda} + (4b_2 - b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(b_3-b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda}+2(b_3-b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda}\\
&+(b_3-4b_{11})[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}+(b_3-b_{12})[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&+(b_3-4b_{13})[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}+2(b_4-2b_{13})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}\\
&+2(2b_4-b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}+2(b_5-b_{13})[G_{\mu\nu,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(4b_5-b_{12})[G_{\mu\nu,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda}+(b_6-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+2(b_6-b_7)[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_6-2b_8)[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(b_7-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}+(b_7-4b_9)[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+2(b_7-b_{10})[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_8-2b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(b_8-2b_9)[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}+(2b_8-b_{10})[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\\
&+(b_9-b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}+(b_{10}-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&+2(b_1-2b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda}+2(b_2-b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(b_3-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda}+(b_3-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&+2(b_4-2b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}+2(b_5-b_{14})[G_{\mu\nu},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\rho}]G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+(b_6-4b_{11})[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_6-b_{12})[G_{\mu\rho,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+2(b_6-b_{12})[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_7-4b_{11})[G_{\mu\lambda,\nu},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+2(b_7-b_{12})[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_7-4b_{13})[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(b_8-2b_{11})[G_{\mu\sigma,\nu},\ \xi_{\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}+(b_8-2b_{13})[G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\\
&+(2b_8-b_{12})[G_{\mu\sigma,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}+(4b_9-b_{12})[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\\
&+(b_{10}-b_{12})[G_{\mu\lambda,\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}+(b_{10}-4b_{13})[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\\
&+2(b_{10}-b_{12})[G_{\mu\lambda,\sigma},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}+(b_6-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+(b_7-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}+(b_8-2b_{14})[G_{\mu\sigma},\ \xi_{\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}\\
&+(b_9-b_{14})[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}+(b_{10}-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}\\
&+(4b_{11}-b_{12})[G_{\mu\rho,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}+(b_{12}-4b_{13})[G_{\mu\lambda,\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\\
&+(b_{11}-b_{14})[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}+(b_{12}-4b_{14})[G_{\mu\lambda},\ \xi_{\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}]G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\\
&\left.+(b_{13}-b_{14})[G_{\mu\rho},\ \xi_{\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}]G_{\mu\nu,\nu}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can determine the numerical coefficients consistently as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&b_2 = \frac{1}{2}b_1, \quad b_3 = 2b_1, \quad b_4 = b_1, \quad b_5 = \frac{1}{2}b_1, \quad b_6 = 2b_1, \quad b_7 = 2b_1, \quad b_8 = b_1,\\
&b_9 = \frac{1}{2}b_1, \quad b_{10} = 2b_1, \quad b_{11} = \frac{1}{2}b_1, \quad b_{12} = 2b_1, \quad b_{13} = \frac{1}{2}b_1, \quad b_{14} = \frac{1}{2}b_1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and come to the following second invariant Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_4 = b_1 \mathrm{Tr}\Big(& G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\sigma\lambda}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\sigma,\nu\sigma\lambda\lambda}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\lambda,\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\lambda,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda\lambda}\Big). \qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

As we demonstrated so far, these Lagrangians contain no subinvariance, since the equation for coefficients a and b has a unique solution presented above. Thus these construction completely fixes all coefficients in front of all Lorentz structures participated in $\mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4$ and also proves that only two invariants $\mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4$ are available. It seems that the above construction of all possible Lorentz invariant structures is difficult to extend to higher rank tensor fields.

5 Higher Rank Tensor Gauge Fields

The progress in the construction of gauge and Lorentz invariant forms for higher rank tensor gauge fields have been achieved in the approach proposed in [52, 53], where instead of constructing all possible Lorentz structures as in [51] it was suggested first to build a general gauge invariant tensor density and then by contraction to build Lorentz invariants. In this way two invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ have been constructed in [52,53]. Therefore it is plausible that for higher rank tensor gauge fields there exist only two independent gauge invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$.

In the present section we shall estimate the number of independent Lorentz invariant structures appearing in $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ and shall present a compact form of its coefficients. It will be demonstrated that the total number of the Lorentz independent structures in the general Lagrangian for the rank-s tensors grows as s^2.

The gauge invariant tensor density constructed in [52, 53] by expansion over the vector variable e_μ [54] is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}} = \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_i} G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_i}} G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_i}\cdots\lambda_{2s}} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_s} G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_{p_1}\lambda_{p_2}\cdots\lambda_{p_s}} G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_1}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_2}\cdots\overset{\vee}{\lambda}_{p_s}\cdots\lambda_{2s}} \\ &+(\nu_1\leftrightarrow\nu_2)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

It should be noted that these tensors are totally symmetric within the indices (ν_1, ν_2) and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{2s})$, whereas these have no symmetric structures between the indices ν_i and λ_j. Contraction of indices by metric tensors allows to construct two Lorentz invariant forms $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ [52, 53]

$$\mathcal{L}_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^s}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}}\Big(\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}\eta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\Big)\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\cdots\eta_{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}}, \tag{5.2a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}}\Big(\mathcal{L}_{s+1}\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{2s}}\Big(\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2}\Big)\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\cdots\eta_{\lambda_{2s-1}\lambda_{2s}}. \tag{5.2b}$$

Let us perform an explicit contraction of the indices in the equations (5.2a) and (5.2b). First let us consider the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$. After contraction by $\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}$ in (5.2a), it might be convenient to classify independent terms by the number of contractions within the first field strength tensor $G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_k}(x)$ (j-contractions, k-going out). Through this procedure we can determine the combinatorial numbers as the coefficients*,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}}\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{i}{2}]} a\Big(s,i,j\Big) \\ &\quad\times G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j}} G_{\mu\nu,\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{s-i+j}\gamma_{s-i+j}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j}} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{s}{2}]} a\Big(s,s,j\Big) \\ &\quad\times G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j}} G_{\mu\nu,\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_j\gamma_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j}}\Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where the numerical coefficients $a\Big(s,i,j\Big)$ are given by†

$$a\Big(s,i,j\Big) = 2^{i-2j}\begin{pmatrix} s-j \\ i-2j \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} s \\ j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.4}$$

---

*We denote the symbol $[m]$ as Gauss symbol.

†We use a convention of the binomial coefficients $\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ as $\begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix} = 0$ for $m < n$ or $n < 0$.

From this formula (5.3), we can also compute the total number of the independent quadratic terms in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ as[‡]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{total number} &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\Big(\Big[\frac{i}{2}\Big]+1\Big)+\Big(\Big[\frac{s}{2}\Big]+1\Big)\\
&= \begin{cases} \dfrac{s^2}{4}+s+1 & (s\text{: even}),\\[2mm] \dfrac{s^2}{4}+s+\dfrac{3}{4} & (s\text{: odd}).\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

Next, we turn to consider the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$. After the summation of $\Big(\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2}\Big)$ in (5.2b), we can classify the terms into four groups, such as

$$
\mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu,\cdots}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\cdots}\Big),\quad \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu,\nu\cdots}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\cdots}\Big),\quad \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu,\rho\cdots}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\cdots}\Big),\quad \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\cdots}G_{\mu\rho,\cdots}\Big). \tag{5.6}
$$

Then we proceed to contract between the remaining indices in all above terms. In this manipulation also it might be convenient to classify the independent terms by the number of contractions within the first field strength $G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_k}(x)$ (j-contractions, k-going out) in each term. It should be noted that the first and the last ones in the classification (5.6) could be the same if the first and the second field strengths in all traces would have the same number of indices, i.e. the case for rank-$(s+2)$ field strength tensors. Taking this fact into account, we obtain the following form with the combinatorial numbers as the coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2^{s-2}}\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg(&\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{i}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,i,j\Big)\\
&\qquad\times G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j}}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{s-i+j-1}\gamma_{s-i+j-1}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j}}\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{i-1}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,i-1,j\Big)\\
&\qquad\times G_{\mu\nu,\nu\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-1}}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{s-i+j}\gamma_{s-i+j}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-1}}\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{i-1}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,i-1,j\Big)\\
&\qquad\times G_{\mu\nu,\rho\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-1}}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{s-i+j}\gamma_{s-i+j}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-1}}\\
&+\sum_{i=2}^{s-1}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{i-2}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,i-2,j\Big)\\
&\qquad\times G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-2}}G_{\mu\rho,\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{s-i+j+1}\gamma_{s-i+j+1}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{i-2j-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

[‡] These results can be applied for the case $s=0$ as well.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{s}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,s,j\Big) \\
&\qquad \times G_{\mu\nu,\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j}} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_{j-1}\gamma_{j-1}\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j}} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{s-1}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,s-1,j\Big) \\
&\qquad \times G_{\mu\nu,\nu\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j-1}} G_{\mu\rho,\rho\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_j\gamma_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j-1}} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{s-1}{2}]} a\Big(s-1,s-1,j\Big) \\
&\qquad \times G_{\mu\nu,\rho\alpha_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_j\alpha_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j-1}} G_{\mu\rho,\nu\gamma_1\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_j\gamma_j\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_{s-2j-1}}\Bigg). \qquad (5.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this formula (5.7), we can see the total number of the independent quadratic terms in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ as[§]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{total number} &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\Big(\big[\frac{i}{2}\big]+1\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\Big(\big[\frac{i-1}{2}\big]+1\Big) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}\Big(\big[\frac{i-1}{2}\big]+1\Big) + \sum_{i=2}^{s-1}\Big(\big[\frac{i-2}{2}\big]+1\Big) \\
&\quad +\big[\frac{s}{2}\big] + \Big(\big[\frac{s-1}{2}\big]+1\Big) + \Big(\big[\frac{s-1}{2}\big]+1\Big) \\
&= \begin{cases} s^2+\dfrac{3}{2}s & (s\text{: even}), \\ s^2+\dfrac{3}{2}s+\dfrac{1}{2} & (s\text{: odd}). \end{cases} \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

In both Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{s+1}$ and $\mathcal{L}'_{s+1}$ the total number of terms grows as s^2.

6 Appendix

Let us get convinced that for $s = 1, 2$ and 3 the invariant tensor density (5.1) and the formulas (5.3) and (5.7) reproduce the lower rank Lagrangians:

- $s = 1$ $\Big($ for second rank tensor gauge filed $\Big)$

  invariant tensor:

$$
\Big(\mathcal{L}_2\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2} = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2} + (\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2)\Big). \qquad (6.1)
$$

  Lagrangians:

$$
\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathcal{L}_2\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2}\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}\eta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}
$$

[§] These results can be applied for the cases $s = 0$, 1 and 2 as well.

$$= \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\Big), \tag{6.2a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'_2 &= \Big(\mathcal{L}_2\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2}\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2} \\ &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.2b}$$

- $s = 2$ $\Big($ for third rank tensor gauge filed $\Big)$

  invariant tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\mathcal{L}_3\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4} = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(&G_{\mu\nu_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\ &+G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\ &+G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3} \\ &+G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_3\lambda_4} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_4} \\ &+G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3} \\ &+(\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

  Lagrangians:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_3 &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(\mathcal{L}_3\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}\eta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma} \\ &\qquad +4G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\rho} \\ &\qquad +2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{6.4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\mathcal{L}_3\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2}\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma} \\ &\qquad +2G_{\mu\nu,\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma} \\ &\qquad +G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho} + G_{\mu\nu,\nu\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma} + G_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4b}$$

- $s = 3$ $\Big($ for fourth rank tensor gauge filed $\Big)$

invariant tensor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big(\mathcal{L}_4\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} = \mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(& G_{\mu\nu_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_2\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_3\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_3\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_3\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_4\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_4\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_5\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_3\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_5\lambda_6} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_5}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_6} \\
& + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} + G_{\mu\nu_1,\lambda_1\lambda_5\lambda_6}G_{\mu\nu_2,\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \\
& + (\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2)\Big). \qquad (6.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lagrangians:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_4 &= \frac{1}{8}\Big(\mathcal{L}_4\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6}\eta_{\nu_1\nu_2}\eta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\eta_{\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\rho\rho\sigma\sigma} + 6G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda} \\
&\qquad + 12G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda\rho} + 3G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}G_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho\sigma\sigma} \\
&\qquad + 4G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma}G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma} + 6G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\rho}G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\rho}\Big), \qquad (6.6a)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_4 &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(\mathcal{L}_4\Big)_{\nu_1\nu_2,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5\lambda_6}\eta_{\nu_1\lambda_1}\eta_{\nu_2\lambda_2}\eta_{\lambda_3\lambda_4}\eta_{\lambda_5\lambda_6} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(G_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma} + 4G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda} + 4G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\lambda\sigma} + 2G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\sigma\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+G_{\mu\nu,\nu}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}+4G_{\mu\nu,\nu\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma\lambda}\\
&+G_{\mu\nu,\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}+4G_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma\lambda}\\
&+G_{\mu\nu,\nu\rho}G_{\mu\rho,\lambda\lambda\sigma\sigma}\\
&+4G_{\mu\nu,\sigma\sigma\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\rho\lambda}\\
&+2G_{\mu\nu,\nu\lambda\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\lambda\sigma}+G_{\mu\nu,\nu\lambda\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\rho\sigma\sigma}\\
&+2G_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda\sigma}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\lambda\sigma}+G_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda\lambda}G_{\mu\rho,\nu\sigma\sigma}\Big). \qquad (6.6b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Acknowledgments We would like to thank T. Jonsson for interesting comments and discussions. T.T. would like to thank the National Center for Scientific Research "DEMOKRITOS" for warm hospitality. His work is supported by ENRAGE (European Network on Random Geometry), a Marie Curie Research Training Network supported by the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme, network contract MRTN-CT-2004-005616.

References

[1] E.Majorana. Teoria Relativistica di Particelle con Momento Intrinseco Arbitrario. Nuovo Cimento **9** (1932) 335

[2] P.A.M.Dirac. Relativistic wave equations. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A155** (1936) 447;
Unitary Representation of the Lorentz Group. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A183** (1944) 284.

[3] M. Fierz. Helv. Phys. Acta. **12** (1939) 3.
M. Fierz and W. Pauli. On Relativistic Wave Equations for Particles of Arbitrary Spin in an Electromagnetic Field. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A173** (1939) 211.

[4] E. Wigner. On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group. Ann. Math. **40** (1939) 149.

[5] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger. On a Theory of Particles with Half-Integral Spin. Phys. Rev. **60** (1941) 61

[6] H.Yukawa. "Quantum Theory of Non-Local Fields. Part I. Free Fields" Phys. Rev. **77** (1950) 219
M. Fierz. "Non-Local Fields" Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 184

[7] J.Schwinger. *Particles, Sources, and Fields* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)

[8] S. Weinberg, “Feynman Rules For Any Spin,” Phys. Rev. **133** (1964) B1318.

[9] S. Weinberg, “Feynman Rules For Any Spin. 2: Massless Particles,” Phys. Rev. **134** (1964) B882.

[10] S. Weinberg, “Photons And Gravitons In S Matrix Theory: Derivation Of Charge Conservation And Equality Of Gravitational And Inertial Mass,” Phys. Rev. **135** (1964) B1049.

[11] S. J. Chang. Lagrange Formulation for Systems with Higher Spin. Phys.Rev. **161** (1967) 1308

[12] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen. Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. I. The boson case. Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898

[13] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen. Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. II. The fermion case. Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898, 910

[14] C.Fronsdal. Massless fields with integer spin, Phys.Rev. **D18** (1978) 3624

[15] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal. Massless fields with half-integral spin, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 3630

[16] N.S.Gupta. ”Gravitation and Electromagnetism”. Phys. Rev. **96**, (1954) 1683 .

[17] R.H.Kraichnan. ”Special-relativistic derivation of generally covariant gravitation theory”. Phys. Rev. **98**, (1955) 1118.

[18] W.E.Thirring. ”An alternative approach to the theory of gravitation”. Ann. Phys. **16**, (1961) 96.

[19] R.P.Feynman. ”Feynman Lecture on Gravitation”. Westview Press 2002.

[20] S.Deser. ”Self-interaction and gauge invariance”. Gen. Rel. Grav. **1**, (1970) 9.

[21] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal. ”Deformation of gauge groups. Gravitation”. J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2264

[22] S.Weinberg and E.Witten, “Limits on massless particles,” Phys. Lett. B **96** (1980) 59.

[23] C. Aragone and S. Deser, “Constraints on gravitationally coupled tensor fields,” Nuovo. Cimento. **3**A (1971) 709;
C.Aragone and S.Deser, “Consistancy problems of hypergavity,” Phys. Lett. B **86** (1979) 161.

[24] F. A. Berends, G. J. H Burgers and H. Van Dam, “On the Theoretical problems in Constructing Interactions Involving Higher-Spin Massless Particles,” Nucl. Phys. B **260** (1985) 295.

[25] B.deWit, F.A. Berends, J.W.van Halten and P.Nieuwenhuizen, “On Spin-5/2 gauge fields,” J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16** (1983) 543.

[26] M.A.Vasiliev, ”Progress in Higher Spin Gauge Theories” hep-th/0104246; M.A.Vasiliev et. al. ”Nonlinear Higher Spin Theories in Various Domensions” hep-th/0503128

[27] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, “Holography in 4D (Super) Higher Spin Theories and a Test via Cubic Scalar Couplings,” hep-th/0305040

[28] A. Sagnotti, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, “On higher spins with a strong Sp(2,R) condition,” arXiv:hep-th/0501156.

[29] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, “Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrary Spin,” Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 31.

[30] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, “Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrarily Extended Supermultiplets,” Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 41.

[31] L. Edgren, R. Marnelius and P. Salomonson, “Infinite spin particles,” JHEP **0505** (2005) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0503136].

[32] N. Turok, M. Perry and P. J. Steinhardt, “M theory model of a big crunch / big bang transition,” Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 106004 [arXiv:hep-th/0408083].

[33] J. Engquist and P. Sundell, “Brane partons and singleton strings,” arXiv:hep-th/0508124.

[34] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, "Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions," arXiv:hep-th/0503128.

[35] L. Brink, "Particle physics as representations of the Poincare algebra," arXiv:hep-th/0503035.

[36] J. Gamboa, M. Loewe and F. Mendez, "Quantum theory of tensionless noncommutative p-branes," Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 106006.

[37] G. Bonelli, "On the boundary gauge dual of closed tensionless free strings in AdS," JHEP **0411** (2004) 059 [arXiv:hep-th/0407144].

[38] I. Bakas and C. Sourdis, "On the tensionless limit of gauged WZW models," JHEP **0406** (2004) 049 [arXiv:hep-th/0403165].

[39] A. Bredthauer, U. Lindstrom, J. Persson and L. Wulff, 'Type IIB tensionless superstrings in a pp-wave background," JHEP **0402** (2004) 051 [arXiv:hep-th/0401159].

[40] J. Gamboa and F. Mendez, "Statistical quantum mechanics of many universes," arXiv:hep-th/0304116.

[41] W. F. Chagas-Filho, "Symmetries in particle and string theories," arXiv:hep-th/0309219.

[42] M. Bianchi, J. F. Morales and H. Samtleben, "On stringy AdS(5)x S^5 and higher spin holography," JHEP **0307** (2003) 062 [arXiv:hep-th/0305052].

[43] E. Gabrielli, "Extended Pure Yang-Mills Gauge Theories With Scalar And Tensor Gauge Phys. Lett. B **258** (1991) 151.

[44] N. Kawamoto and Y. Watabiki, "Even Dimensional Generalization of Chern-Simons Action and New Symmetry", Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 641; "Graded Lie Algebra and Generalized Chern-Simons Actions in Arbitrary Dimensions", Mod. Phys. Lett. **A7** (1992) 1137.

N. Kawamoto, K. Suehiro, T. Tsukioka and H. Umetsu, "Quantization of Infinitely Reducible Generalized Chern-Simons Actions in Two-Dimensions", Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998) 233, `[hep-th/9702172]`; "Quantization of Even Dimensional Actions of Chern-Simons Form with Infinite Reducibility", Nucl. Phys. **B532** (1998) 429,

`[hep-th/9804138]`.
N. Kawamoto and T. Tsukioka, “$N = 2$ Supersymmetric Model with Dirac-Kähler Fermions from Generalized Gauge Theory in Two-Dimensions”, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 105009, `[hep-th/9905222]`.

[45] E. Gabrielli, “Extended gauge theories in Euclidean space with higher spin fields,” Annals Phys. **287** (2001) 229 [arXiv:hep-th/9909117].

[46] J. C. Baez, “Higher Yang-Mills theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0206130.

[47] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, “Gauge Theories As Theories Of Spontaneous Breakdown,” JETP Lett. **23** (1976) 606 [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **23** (1976) 661].

[48] E. A. Ivanov, “Yang-Mills Theory In Sigma Model Representation. (In Russian),” Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **30** (1979) 452.

[49] T. Curtright, “Generalized Gauge Fields,” Phys. Lett. **B165** (1985) 304 [Erratum-ibid. **60** (1988) 1990].

[50] R. Manvelyan and W. Ruhl, “The quantum one loop trace anomaly of the higher spin conformal conserved currents in the bulk of AdS(4),” arXiv:hep-th/0506185. R. Manvelyan and W. Ruhl, “The masses of gauge fields in higher spin field theory on the bulk of AdS(4),” Phys. Lett. B **613** (2005) 197 [arXiv:hep-th/0412252].

[51] G. Savvidy, “Generalization of Yang-Mills Theory: Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields and Higher-Spin Extension of Standard Model”, `[hep-th/0505033]`.

[52] G. Savvidy, “Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields: Generalization of Yang-Mills Theory”, Phys. Lett. **B625** (2005) 341, `[hep-th/0509049]`.

[53] G. Savvidy, “Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields and Extended Current Algebra. Generalization of Yang-Mills Theory”, `[hep-th/0510258]`.

[54] G.K. Savvidy, “Conformal Invariant Tensionless Strings”, Phys. Lett. **B552** (2003) 72; “Tensionless Strings: Physical Fock Space and Higher Spin Fields”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A19** (2004) 3171, `[hep-th/0310085]`; “Tensionless Strings, Correspondence with SO(D,D) Sigma Model”, Phys. Lett. **B615** (2005) 285, `[hep-th/0502114]`.